

Political Dynasties and Regional Governance in Indonesia: Challenges for Democratic Accountability

Susi Sugiyarsih

Department of Public Administration, Universitas 17 Agustus 1945
Cirebon, Cirebon, Indonesia

Abstract: Political dynasties continue to be a recurring problem in Indonesia's democratic development, especially after the implementation of decentralization and direct local elections. The existence of political families in strategic posts in regional governments continues to attract public attention and raises questions of political competition, democratic accountability and the quality of regional governance. Political dynasties are not illegal, but they often become a subject of controversy over equal political opportunities and concentration of political power. Political dynasties, however, are not just about the passing of political influence from one generation to the next but also about bigger issues of democratic governance and public accountability. In some cases, political power has become concentrated in certain families, and this has raised concerns about political competition, institutional oversight and public participation in local government. However, the impact of political dynasties cannot be looked at uniformly as local political and social conditions differ in different regions. This paper investigates the linkages between political dynasties and regional governance in Indonesia by conducting a review of a variety of academic publications, policy documents, government reports and related literature. The discussion here is on the potential effects of political dynasties on democratic accountability, governance practices and performance of local institutions. Particular emphasis is placed on the difficulties faced by regional governments in promoting transparency, accountability and public trust in democratic processes. The paper argues that in the pursuit of good regional governance, democratic institutions, accountability mechanisms and broader political participation are still needed to be improved so that the political leadership acts in the interest of the public and supports sustainable regional development.

Keywords: Political dynasties, regional governance, democratic accountability, local democracy.

Correspondents Author:

Susi Sugiyarsih, Department of Public Administration, Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Cirebon, Cirebon, Indonesia
Email: ssugiyarsih@gmail.com

Received November 25 2024; Revised January 18, 2025; Accepted January 31, 2025; Published March 15, 2025.

Introduction

Democracy has been one of the most important bases of government in many countries including Indonesia. Since the political reforms of 1998, Indonesia has undergone dramatic changes in its political and government systems. These reforms were aimed at strengthening democratic institutions, increasing public participation and promoting a more accountable government. One of the most important reforms introduced during this period was decentralization, which transferred more power from the central government to the regional governments. Decentralization was intended to improve the responsiveness of local government to the needs of the community and the effectiveness of local government in managing regional development ([Chandranegara & Cahyawati, 2023](#); [Mendoza et al., 2022](#)). The political dynamics in Indonesia have been changed by the application of regional autonomy. More power has been given to local authorities to run public affairs, carry out development programs and deliver public services. At the same time, direct local elections have become an important mechanism for choosing regional leaders. The direct election was introduced, reportedly to improve democracy at the grassroots level by enabling citizens to directly elect governors, regents and mayors ([Gonschorek, 2021](#)). The system was also designed to be more accountable because elected leaders would be directly accountable to the people who elected them. The development of local democracy has resulted in several political dynamics. On the one hand, direct elections have opened more opportunities for participation in politics and raised the level of public involvement in political processes. However, local political competition has also generated new problems, for instance the emergence of political elites with extensive powers over political institutions and the electoral process. In many places, political power has been concentrated in the hands of a few groups or families, which is often referred to as political dynasties ([Jia et al., 2021](#)). The relationship between political dynasties, democracy, and governance has attracted considerable academic and public attention. The term is commonly used to describe the concentration of political power within a family, with family members holding political office across generations or at different points of government. Family members can be governors, regents, mayors, legislators or other strategic political positions. Although political dynasties are not illegal, they continue to be discussed regarding their effects on democratic governance and political equality ([Ramcilovic-Suominen & Kotilainen, 2020](#)). More than just the legal aspect, the political dynasty discussion has other aspects. The issue is closely tied to wider concerns about political competition, public participation, accountability and quality of governance ([Van Coppenolle, 2022](#)). Political dynasties, it is argued by their supporters, can bring about policy continuity and political stability through the political experience, leadership networks and organisational resources that are built up within a family. They argue that ultimately electoral success is a

matter of voter preferences and democratic procedures, regardless of family background ([Qiu, 2023](#); [Zhang, 2022](#)). However, critics say political dynasties can distort fair political competition by giving advantages to those with family ties to powerful politicians. Candidates from political families may benefit from the political resources, networks and campaign support, and public recognition of political families, which may give them an advantage over their competitors. This can constrain opportunities for broader political participation, especially for those without access to such political linkages or resources. The presence of political dynasties has also raised concerns about democratic accountability. Accountability is a core value of democratic governance which requires public officials to be answerable for their decisions and actions. For accountability mechanisms to be effective they need to be transparent, subject to institutional oversight and real political competition ([Fleischmann, 2023](#)). In certain cases when political power is in the hands of a few families, we can question the effectiveness of these checks and balances and the independence of institutions. Accountability is particularly important for regional governance, as local governments are directly responsible for the management of public resources and the provision of public services. Regional leaders are engaged in determining development priorities, budget allocations and policy implementation. Therefore, quality governance is not only about the capacity of leadership but also about the presence of institutions that can maintain transparency and accountability. The concentration of political power in family networks could impact these governance processes differently in different regions ([Xu, 2023](#)).

Political dynasties have become increasingly visible in many parts of Indonesia since decentralization. The involvement of family members in local political institutions and in regional elections has been the focus of many public debates and studies. This phenomenon has caused various interpretations. For some observers, political dynasties are a natural outcome of democratic contestation; for others they are an obstacle to democratic consolidation and institutional development. These various views suggest that political dynasties remain a relevant issue in the development of local democracy in Indonesia. The problem of political dynasties and local governance remains a relevant discussion, as the quality of governance impacts public trust, institutional performance, and development outcomes. Good governance is transparency, accountability, responsiveness and equal opportunity for political participation ([McNeal, 2023](#)). Therefore, the influence of the political dynasties on these aspects is important to be understood for the assessment of the functioning of democratic institutions in the regional context. Considering these considerations, this paper discusses the phenomenon of political dynasties and its implication for the regional governance in Indonesia. Particular attention is paid to the challenges that political dynasties may pose to democratic accountability, political competition and practices of governance. This

paper aims to offer a broader lens for understanding the relationship between political dynasties, democratic accountability and regional governance in contemporary Indonesia by reviewing relevant literature and policy debates.

Research Method

The research employed a qualitative approach with a narrative literature review method to examine the relationship between political dynasties and regional governance in Indonesia. The method was chosen because the study aims to develop a broader understanding of the phenomenon of political dynasties and its implications for democratic accountability rather than to test specific hypotheses or measure causal relationships statistically ([Lees-Marshment & Bendle, 2022](#)). The study is based on secondary data collected from academic journals, books, government reports, policy documents, research publications and other relevant sources on political dynasties, decentralization, local democracy, governance and democratic accountability. A review of selected literature identified major themes, perspectives and debates on the development of political dynasties in Indonesia's regional political system ([Barlow & Thow, 2021](#); [Nakanishi, 2022](#)). The analysis was conducted in descriptive and analytical form. Relevant information from the reviewed literature was organized, compared, and interpreted to investigate the impact of political dynasties on political competition, public participation, accountability mechanisms, and governance practices at the regional level. Special attention was given to the opportunities and challenges of concentrating political power in family networks and the implications for democratic governance. Therefore, this study provides a conceptual discussion on political dynasties as a contemporary issue in regional politics and its relevance to democratic accountability and quality of governance in Indonesia ([Aunphattanasilp, 2019](#); [Holden, 2020](#)).

Result and Discussion

Local Political Families

The decentralization and direct election of local leaders have reshaped the political landscape of Indonesia's regions. Local governments are more important in delivering public affairs and local elections are the opportunity for citizens to elect their regional leaders directly. These reforms sought to deepen democracy, broaden political participation and increase government accountability. But the growth of local democracy has also seen the rise of political dynasties in parts of the country. Political dynasties are generally understood as the concentration of political power and public offices in the hands of particular families. Members of the family are often in strategic political positions at various levels of government at the same time or at different times of elections.

The growth of political dynasties is directly proportional to their access to political resources, public recognition, organizational networks and electoral advantages. Candidates with political connections to the well-known political figures have more opportunities to participate in the electoral competition than the candidates without the political connections. Hence, political dynasties have become a common feature of the regional political dynamics in Indonesia.

Political Dynasties and Democratic Responsiveness

Democratic accountability is one of the basic principles of democratic governance. The idea is that transparency in institutions, electoral competition, and public oversight make public officials answerable for their decisions and actions. Accountability mechanisms are effective when they ensure that the use of governmental authority is in accordance with public interests. Political dynasties are bad because they can influence the quality of democratic accountability. The political power is concentrated in some families; it can stifle political competition and opportunities for other political actors. Where political competition is weaker, you can have weaker accountability mechanisms. Political dynasties can also affect the interaction between elected officials and the public. Strong family networks can create advantages in elections and in the political decision-making process. Even though electoral success still depends on the support of the voters, uneven access to political resources may affect the overall competitiveness of democratic processes.

Governance and Political Dynasties

Quality of governance is strongly correlated with transparency, accountability, institutional effectiveness and responsiveness. Regional governments are expected to use public resources prudently to promote policies and development programs that are in the best interest of the community. The effect of political dynasties on the quality of governance is still a matter of debate. Proponents contend that continuity in politics can lead to policy consistency and administrative stability. Long-standing political networks can facilitate coordination and long-term planning, in cases where regional development programs need to be implemented over a long period of time. But critics say political dynasties can create an environment that undermines institutional checks and balances. The concentration of political influence can raise concerns about favoritism, patronage and limited oversight. Such conditions can weaken public trust and perceptions of government legitimacy. Thus, the impact of political dynasties on the quality of governance is largely determined by the strength of institutions and accountability mechanisms in a region.

Implications for regional development

Regional governance and the determinants of development outcomes. Good governance leads to better public services, more efficient use of resources and increased public trust. The quality of local political institutions can therefore influence broader development processes. Regional development may have a different impact on political dynasties. At times political stability can allow for the implementation of long-term development programmes and policy stability. But problems may emerge if political competition is weak or if decision-making is concentrated in a narrow political elite. Regional development needs inclusive governance that enhances public participation and provides equal opportunities for political representation. Democratic institutions function well when competition is open and there is an opportunity for leadership through fair and transparent processes. Hence, keeping the balance between political stability and democratic competition remains a big challenge for regional governments.

Outlook and Challenges

The big problem with political dynasties is that democratic institutions keep on working. Strengthening accountability mechanisms, transparency practices and institutional oversight are still vital to ensuring public confidence and preventing the concentration of political power from undermining democratic governance. Another challenge is to promote broader political participation. Opportunities should be created for various social groups to take on leadership roles so that new political actors can engage in the processes of democracy. Greater political inclusion can help in making governance more inclusive and strengthening democratic institutions. Future work on reforming regional governance should focus on building institutional capacity, transparency and a level political playing field. Democratic accountability does not mean elections but working institutions and active citizens. Better governance mechanisms would enable regional governments to respond better to public needs, while preserving their democratic legitimacy and accountability. Political dynasties remain a problem in local political arenas in Indonesia. The role of political dynasties in governance and democratic accountability depends on the ability of institutions to provide transparency, accountability, and equality of opportunities in democratic processes.

Conclusions

Political dynasties have become one of the remarkable features of regional politics in Indonesia after the implementation of decentralization and direct local elections. The concentration of political influence in families has generated ongoing discussion on the implications for democratic governance, political competition and public accountability. Democratic systems do not outlaw political dynasties, but their existence raises important questions about equal political opportunities and the quality of regional governance.

The discussion in this paper shows that political dynasties may affect democratic accountability through their impacts on political competition, public participation, and institutional oversight. Some political families may desire a continuity of leadership and policies but there are still concerns about the concentration of political power and its impact on transparency and accountability. Thus, the effects of political dynasties are not uniform and depend often on the local political, social and institutional conditions. Democratic accountability must be strengthened for good regional governance. Adequate oversight and transparent governance and broad political participation are vital to maintain the public trust and to prevent too much concentration of political power. Institutions that are strong are needed to make sure that the political processes are open, competitive and accountable. Conclusion should be withdrawn based on research findings, formulated concerns and research purposes. Conclusion is presented in one paragraph without numerical form of expression. Explain your research contributions to science.

References

- Aunphattanasilp, C. (2019). Civil society coalitions, power relations, and socio-political ideas: Discourse creation and redesigning energy policies and actor networks in Thailand. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 58, 101271.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.101271>
- Barlow, P., & Thow, A. M. (2021). Neoliberal discourse, actor power, and the politics of nutrition policy: A qualitative analysis of informal challenges to nutrition labelling regulations at the World Trade Organization, 2007–2019. *Social Science & Medicine*, 273, 113761.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.113761>
- Chandranegara, I. S., & Cahyawati, D. P. (2023). Conflict of interest prevention clause in the constitution: The study of the Indonesian Constitution. *Heliyon*, 9(3), e14679.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e14679>
- Fleischmann, L. (2023). More-than-human political geographies: Abjection and sovereign power. *Political Geography*, 107, 102949.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2023.102949>
- Gonschorek, G. J. (2021). Subnational favoritism in development grant allocations: Empirical evidence from decentralized Indonesia. *World Development*, 139, 105299.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105299>
- Holden, P. (2020). Territory, geoeconomics and power politics: The Irish government's framing of Brexit. *Political Geography*, 76, 102063.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2019.102063>
- Jia, J., Liang, X., & Ma, G. (2021). Political hierarchy and regional economic development: Evidence from a spatial discontinuity in China. *Journal of Public Economics*, 194, 104352.
- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpube.2020.104352>
- Lees-Marshment, J., & Bendle, N. T. (2022). Political practitioners' perspectives on political management: the importance of people and power. *International Journal of Public Leadership*, 18(4), 305-318.
- <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPL-12-2021-0062>
- McNeal, K. E. (2023). Religion, Power, Politics, and History in the Southern Caribbean. *New West Indian Guide / Nieuwe WestIndische Gids*, 97(3), 323-330.
- <https://doi.org/10.1163/22134360-09703001>
- Mendoza, R. U., Yap, J. K., Mendoza, G. A. S., Jaminola, L., & Yu, E. C. (2022). Political dynasties, business, and poverty in the Philippines. *Journal of Government and Economics*, 7, 100051.
- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jge.2022.100051>
- Nakanishi, Y. (2022). Politics-based knowledge legitimation model: power exercise in organizational learning. *The Learning Organization*, 30(3), 273-289.
- <https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-03-2022-0039>
- Qiu, J. (2023). On Revision and Reconstruction: A Discussion about the Founding Year of the Jin Dynasty and Related Questions. *Journal of Chinese Humanities*, 9(1), 41-60.
- <https://doi.org/10.1163/23521341-12340144>
- Ramcilovic-Suominen, S., & Kotilainen, J. (2020). Power relations in community resilience and politics of shifting cultivation in Laos. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 115, 102159.
- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2020.102159>
- Van Coppenolle, B. (2022). Political dynasties and direct elections in bicameralism: Democratisation in the Netherlands. *Electoral Studies*, 76, 102454.
- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electstud.2022.102454>
- Xu, Y. (2023). The Relationship between Literati Livelihoods and the Development of Novels and Operas in the Canal Region during the Ming and Qing Dynasties. *Journal of Chinese Humanities*, 9(2), 196-213.
- <https://doi.org/10.1163/23521341-12340153>
- Zhang, F. (2022). The Influence of Chenwei on Han Dynasty Literature and Literary Theory. *Journal of Chinese Humanities*, 8(1), 58-115.
- <https://doi.org/10.1163/23521341-12340125>